# Current Issues and Initiatives: What's going on at the Secretariat

Susan Zimmerman Executive Director Secretariat on Responsible Conduct of Research

UBC REB Retreat Vancouver, BC October 21, 2015



#### **Overview of presentation**

**Evolution activities:** 

Evolution of Chapter 11- Clinical Trials
Enhanced guidance on PPH research
Material incidental findings
Cell lines and REB review
Mandatory consent



#### Overview of presentation (cont'd)

#### Strategic planning:

- ➢ REB survey
- Consideration of U.S. NPRM
- Possible initiative on consent

#### **Education activities:**

- New public interpretations
- New tutorial modules
- Material aimed at research participants



# Evolution – Chapter 11 (Clinical Trials)

- Proposals put forward by working committee
- Main changes:
  - expanded scope
  - additional guidance specific to CTs, e.g.
- Next step: consultation



#### Population and Public Health Research

- Sub-committee proposals approved by PRE in June
- Recommendation: no new chapter required
- Additional examples, guidance proposed that relate specifically to PPH research, integrated within existing guidance



#### Material incidental findings

- Can TCPS 2 provide better guidance?
- Clarifying criteria for defining what incidental findings are material
- Clarify roles and responsibilities for informing participants about m.i.f.



#### **REB** review of cell line research

SRCR interpretation requires REB review if cell lines not anonymous

- Does this interpretation serve a useful purpose?
- If so, could it serve this purpose in a less onerous way?



# Mandatory consent

- Possible considerations:
  - Undue influence (depending on vulnerability of participant in the circumstances)
  - Undermining of autonomy
  - Risk of re-identification

VS.

- Reduced burden on participants
- Greater return on scarce research resources



# Strategic planning - REB Survey

Goal of Queen's assessment and evaluation team:

- determine what training REB members receive
- to what extent do REB members use TCPS 2

Our interest:

- how can TCPS 2 be improved?
- identify gaps, areas requiring clarification...



## Strategic planning - NPRM

- Issues of interest
  - More meaningful and transparent consent process
  - Proportionate review
  - Consent for secondary use research with biospecimens
  - Broader scope of coverage (all CTs, regardless of funding source)



# NPRM (cont'd)

- Avoid imposing burdens that don't enhance protections
- Adding categories of research exempt from IRB review
- Single IRB review for multi-site research within U.S.
- Limits on continuing review

Towards greater divergence from or harmonization with TCPS 2?



# Strategic planning - Consent

Contemplating broad initiative on consent

- recurring theme in many issues
- broad vs specific
- mandatory vs voluntary
- one-time vs ongoing
- informed???????

**Best practices?** 

Creative/alternative approaches?



### **Education activities**

- New batch of public interpretations
  - Issues of REB review, governance, researcher responsibilities, scope of application of TCPS 2...
  - Also revisions to two existing interpretations



# Education activities (cont'd)

- Release of additional tutorial modules
  - FNIM
  - multi-jurisdictional research
- Developing materials aimed at research participants



# **Comments? Questions?**





350 Albert Street Ottawa, ON K1A 1H5 Tel.: 613 996-0072 secretariat@pre.ethics.gc.ca

www.pre.ethics.gc.ca



